Previous references.

~~Revenue Misl. 89 of 1887-88~~
and
Rev. Mis. 21 of 1888-89

File No. ~~276~~ 33
of 1897-98

1897
~~190~~
L. R.
(~~Rev. Misl.~~)

Later references.

Sls 3-4 of this file
and
Rev. Mis. 355 of 03-04

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Correspondence opened

Serial Nos. 1-2

PART B FOR

Indexed

SUBJECT.

Petition of Ninjundiah and others of Samattahalli ~~and certain other villages in the~~ Hoskote Taluk for ~~to be allowed to do their work as~~ Shanbhogs ~~by turns or to appropriate the Potji for payment of the assessment on the~~ Shanbhogi Service Inams.

1. ~~From~~ Ninjundiah and others Pet Nos 396 and 693 d/ the 4.8.97 and 6.9.97 respectively
Prays to be allowed to work as Shanbhoges by turns or to appropriate the potji for the payment of the dues to Govt and further for stay of Sale of Service ~~Inams~~ lands in their possession untill this petition is disposed of

2. To Ninjundiah & others Sd/- No 396-693 d/ the 22.9.97
Their request cannot be allowed complied with as it is inadmissable

1897

No. 396

Name of Petitioner Narayandasa Shanbhag Hirsedar

Village Savathanahally

Taluk Hoskote

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi -97

Date of Receipt 4-8-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT.

Petr submits that himself the present Shanbhag Ramaswamy and 2 others are the Hirsedars of the Shanbhogi of Savathanahally and other villages, that the Shanbhogi Inam lands are in their enjoyment respectively according to their Shares, that according to the circul after the Survey settlement the said lands were considered assessed and according to the circular Dated Dy Commr's No. 88 dated 21-4-88 thereof decided that the assessment must be met with from the Rolgi assigned to the person who bore after the

ramaswamy Shenbhag Rama-
swamy has been paying
full assessment since then
time from the Polgy, there the
lands are in the enjoyment
of the Hissedars, there on
their petitions to the Deputy Coll:
in 1888 for orders to allow
them to work as Shenbhags
of their respective shares with
permanable orders were passed
but they appealed to the
Collr in the matter and
pending in Court the
question was under consideration
& orders had been awaited
passed in the matter and
now they must apply through
the Resident: the copies
of all correspondence they have
sent this petition to Court.

From the accounts
of the Pro: No. 4 2031-75 dated 4th June
1880 directing the Hissedars to
work by turns for 5 years each
the Hissedars may be allowed
to do the work by turns.

Petr further submits that the
present Shenbhag Ramaswamy
did not pay the assessment
and from the Polgy for the years
Mukhi and the Collr
has issued orders to recover
the same by the sale of their lands
Petr prays for stay of the sale

"ಕೊಡಲಾಯಿತು" does not mean "orders were passed"

This proceeding is unknown to the Govt

The Govt request will a new thing towards shaking byelaws to appropriate the Govt in payment of the land in the progress is inadmissible & cannot be complied with

15-9-97

16/9/97

Govt order of 3rd January 1894 regarding the appointment of New & Police Sub-Inspectors as it was evidently thought undesirable to introduce any innovation regarding the rules regarding the Shambazar office.

[The file relating to the issue of the above order was not forthcoming in the New Branch when applied for some time ago]

= Thus it would appear that in neither of pet[r]'s prayers, can a favourable order be passed. But the note of Mr Chentsal Rao recorded on 7/11/89 shows that Govt at that time had an idea of enfranchising the Secretariat in the persons of hereditary or favourable grant-rents

Sub D 2nd Committee
26. 4 Nov.
14.9.97.

M 14/9/97

2-9-97

Gayalungalmath

with two Rs Stamp affixed 4/9/97

396.R

4-8-97

ನಂಬರ್
396

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
AUG. 97
KOLAR

By order

Rec'd 16/9/97.

45-3 of 97 X subd. to 2nd Commissioner on 11-8-97

Submitted
BK
11/9/97

10/9/97.

Div V / 1897

No. 693

Name of Petitioner Nanjundaraja Shanbhag Hasabdar (Hakdar)

Village Sannemanahalli

Taluk Kadigenahalli Hoskote

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 6-9-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

X (This is a wrong reference. The pet[n] has relates to a different subject. Have submitted in the correct petn)

See order on pet[n] No 396

MV

ABSTRACT.

Petr submits that there are 3 or 6 hukdars per the Shanbhag of Sannemanahalli village to which he is one; that the Inam lands are in the enjoyment of the hasabdars according to proportionate hule from a very long time; that the Jodi on the Inam lands was being paid by the person who does the work (Namadaramu) even before the Sunnee Settlement and ever since; that the other hasabdars though enjoy in the Inam lands don't pay any portion of the Jodi; that on a dispute having arisen in the year recently there

who looks after the worldare
must pay the whole godi;
that the same has been
observed till now; but there
is the reason Dorimuthi the said
Ramasamien will the intention
of deceiving them; gave up
Rs 1 rupee for the bonds: but
the same was not allowed by
the Tahsildar authorities; that the
Petitioner for collecting the full
godi from the said Ramasamy
he has given orders to attach
the lands in the respective pre-
-fergunnah of the Headers
and do the needful to make
good the amount to the
said Ramasamy.

Prays that the records
may be sent for and orders
passed not to hold him
liable for the godi, and in
the meanwhile to pass order
directing stay of execution
of the attachment and sale
of their lands

Sd 8/9/97

Presented in the Court
on 6/9/97

6930

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]